### Headquarters U. S. Air Force

Integrity - Service - Excellen ce

# Acquisition Strategy Panel Mandatory Template

SYSTEM PROGRAM MANAGER: PROGRAM MANAGER: CONTRACTING OFFICER:

Updated July 2008

**U.S. AIR FORCE** 

Please refer to the notes section for valuable



### **Template**

- This template is designed to provide the key topics necessary to address for a successful Acquisition Strategy Panel (ASP).
- The briefing should address every Template topic. For those topics that are not applicable indicate n/a with a brief explanation.
- The basic goal is to provide the decision maker an understanding of a well thought out strategy that considered all the important issues
- Those programs that have OSD involvement need to ensure the PM addresses all of the potential areas that the OSD decision makers and staff might want covered when discussing a particular program strategy
  - See the Defense Acquisition Guidebook, particularly Sect.2.3
  - See LCMP Guide
- Information is contained in the notes section to help you in preparation of the briefing. The SAF/ACE and local ACEs are available to assist (see Notes section).
- Sample charts are provided at the endeof the template



#### **Addressed?** If not, why?/comments

Program overview/description
■ Identify Program Goals/Objectives   □
Linkage to other programs
Capabilities/KPPs/Key acq. Obj.
Expectations Management Agreement <a>□</a>
Funding/POE
■ Confidence level   □
■ POE/CAIG Estimate  □
Risk Assessment
Technology Readiness/Transition N/A Production contract
Industrial Base Considerations
Acquisition Strategy
■ Proposed □
<ul><li>Alternative Strategies Considered</li><li>N/A only one viable strategy</li></ul>
Program Schedule
■ Road to ASP Schedule □
Major Program Schedule(Critical Path)
■ 12 month schedule  □
Systems Engineering
Open Technology (MOSA-OTD)
Test and Evaluation



#### **U.S. AIR FORCE**

#### **Addressed?** If not, why?/comments

Product Support (LCM)	
■ SORAP	
■ ATS □	
Data & Data Rights	
Market Research	
International Cooperation	
Small Business	
Business/Contract Strategy	
Deviations, Waivers, Delegations	N/A None requested
Source selection	
Evaluation Criteria (Sect L&M)	
Contract Type	
Special T&Cs	
Contract Incentives	
How will you control costs?	
Manpower/Personnel	
Org structure	
Resources	
Experience	
<ul><li>Manpower ramp up/down plan</li></ul>	



#### ASP Discussion Items

#### **Addressed?** If not, why?/comments

Other topics
Envir & Manuft/Quality Engineering
Interoperability
■ Information Assurance □
■ Information Technology
Research/Technology Protection
Protection-Critical Progr. Information <a>□</a>
Anti-Tamper
Human Systems Integration
Environment, Safety, Occupational Health
Military Flight Operations QA n/a ground equipment
Spectrum Management/Supportability <a>\[ \]</a>
Integrated Digital Environment Mgt   in back-up
Gov't Furnished Equipment/Property n/a none provided
Modeling and Simulation
Clinger Cohen Act Certification (CCA)
■ Corrosion Control
Insensitive Munitions
Unique Identifiers
■ Trusted Foundry



### **Outline**

- Bottom Line Up Front (BLUF)
- Program Structure (Overview)
- Factors shaping strategy
  - Capability Needs/EMA
  - Program Cost Est./Funding
  - Risk Management
  - Technology Transition
  - Industrial Base Considerations
- Acquisition Strategy
  - Proposed
  - Alternative Strategies Considered
- Program Schedule
- Systems Engineering
- Product Support Strategy
- Test and Evaluation

- Business Considerations
  - Market Research
  - Sole Source vs.Competition
    - Source Selection
  - Contract Parameters
  - Contract Incentives
  - Small Business
  - Schedule To Contract Award
- Program Office
- Additional Acquisition Topics
  - Status of MS Documentation
  - What worries me?
- Recommendations

Chart Samples

Integrity - Service - Excellence



# Bottom Line Up Front

(Decisions Requested & Key program information)

- Decisions you are requesting
  - Approve Acquisition Strategy
  - Approve Applicable delegations
- Major Issues
  - List "show stoppers"
  - What are your concerns
    - Losing Funding

Don't wait to the end to bring up the negatives!



## Program Structure Overview

- Top Level Background
  - Identify (See following quad chart as a potential sample)
- Identify linkage to other programs
- Explain unique organizational structures or relationships
  - Internal/External Stakeholders
- Identify OSD involvement
- MS Decision Points and Acquisition Phases
- Identify Program Goals and Objectives



#### **Program X** ("Strategy on a page")

#### **U.S. AIR FORCE**Mequirements/Direction

Using Organization(s) -Capabilities Document -

**PMD** 

**FMS** 

Moderate Technical Risk

#### Decision Authority

MDA -

PEO Program – AFPEO/XX

ACAT Level -

SSA -

Delegations: In work

- e.g.., SSA, ASP Chair, LCMP

#### **Financial Data**

Est. Contract Value (Pre-SDD) -

Est. Total Program (qty) - \$

Fund Type (Pre-SDD) - e.g., 3600

New Start (Congressional) -

#### **Strategy**

Competitive or sole source

Incremental

Contract Type -

Estimated Contract Award -

Schedule MS A -

MSB-

IOC -



# Factors Shaping Strategy



# Capability Needs To Be Briefed by the Warfighter!

- Discuss the capability required.
  - Macro level ICD, CDD, CPD signed/dated
  - Critical capability highlights -Mandatory Key Performance Parameters (KPPs) and Key System Attribute (KSAs)
  - Acquisition Approach (Evolutionary?)
- Explain how you know that this is realistically achievable within the time and funding provided.
  - Have the requirements been scrubbed and are they evaluatable in a source selection? (to be briefed by the PM)
- Discuss what collaboration has been accomplished in developing these capabilities.
- How has industry been involved?
  - Identify requirements Industry has indicated

they can't meet Integrity service - Excellence 11



# **Expectations Management Status of Agreement**

- Explain your signed Expectation Management Agreement (EMA)
  - EMD date
  - Demonstrate (money=content=schedule)
  - Include the "nice to haves"
- What change process is in place?
  - Who authorizes changes?
  - Update w/ PMD 45 days after President's Budget submission
  - Update out of cycle with major perturbations
- If you do not have an EMA, explain your plan to get one including any potential obstacles
- Program Management Agreement
  - Identify PM Tenure Dates (or in manpower section)



### Program Cost Estimate/Funding

- Identify your cost estimate
  - Identify the Confidence level of the estimate (50-90%)
- Identify if this is a Program Office or Service Cost Estimate
- Address any AFCAA/OSD CAIG issues that may exist
- Specifically address funding shortfalls
  - Explain your budget plans
  - RDT&E plan for executing obligation and expenditure
  - Explain what MAJCOM commitment exists to cover shortfall as applicable (EMA)
- Provide an overall funding chart
  - Rebyutreestristy FY/Eoclopice Excellence<sup>13</sup>



Investment Program Funding												
(\$in Millions / Then Year)	Prior	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY08-14	To Comp	Prog Total	
	RDT&E											
Prior \$ (BES W/PB05 Cong Marks)									0			
Current \$ (PB)												
Delta \$ (Current - Prior)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Required Block 0									0		0	
Required Block 10									0		0	
Total Required \$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Delta \$ (Current - Required)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			PROC	UREM	<b>IENT</b>							
Prior \$ (BES w/PB05 Cong Marks)									0			
Current \$ (PB)									0		0	
Delta \$ (Current - Prior)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Required \$									0		0	
Delta \$ (Current - Required)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				O&M								
Prior \$ (BES w/PB05 Cong Marks)									0			
Current \$ (PB)									0		0	
Delta \$ (Current - Prior)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Required \$									0		0	
Delta \$ (Current - Required)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				⁄iilcon								
Prior \$ (BES w/PB05 Cong Marks)									0			
Current \$ (PB)									0		0	
Delta \$ (Current - Prior)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Required \$									0		0	
Delta \$ (Current - Required)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			Т	OTAL								
Prior \$ (BES w/PB05 Cong Marks)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Current \$ (PB)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Delta \$ (Current - Prior)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Required \$											0	
Delta \$ (Current - Required)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
QUANTITIES												
Required Qty									0		0	
Required Installations									0		0	



### Risk Management


#### Risk Assessment with Mitigation

- Identify the key Programmatic Risks—Cost, Funding, Schedule, Performance (Technology, Software, Manufacturing), Industrial Base, Manufacturing, Political?
  - What are they? Why do you think they are the only ones?
  - How are you going to address them?
  - In the following charts, plan to use various mitigation strategies to address them
- What is the technical maturity level of your system? Is this the appropriate strategy given the technical maturity level?
  - Describe your top technical challenges
    - Who assessed the technology levels? Labs?
    - What are they, why do you think they are the only ones?
    - How are you going to address them?
- Provide Reisk Matrix ervice Excellence 15



#### **Mandatory**

### **Program Risks**



risk workshop completed - Date/By Whom

#### Risk/Cost

ory (Schedule, technical, or software)

<del>Requirement</del> : Develop/Procure xyz system											
Risk Statement: Eg., Cost proposal exceeds budget											
Impact: Address impact of risk											
Probability: 4	Probability: 4 Consequence: Serious Risk Rating: High (Red)										
Risk Management: Addres	ss mitigation approach										
Risk Management: Address mitigation approach  (Describe risk handling plan, milestones and risk closure criteria)											
Post Risk Management Rating: Probability 1, Serious, Moderate (GREEN)											



# Technology Transition (As Applicable)

- Explain how you have assessed the technology available in development to ensure rapid insertion
  - Technology Readiness Level: entire technology aspect
  - Status of Technology Readiness Assessment
  - Fold in to the program—dovetail with contractor efforts
- Explain what plans you have for keeping track of new developments within the laboratories/battle labs etc.
- Address areas of technology the program is going to support and any MOAs or informal

# Mandat Technology Readiness

	TR			Technology Readiness
Technology	L	Incr 1	Incr 2	<u>Levels (TRL)</u>
	9	х		9. Actual system "flight proven" through successful mission
	6		x	operations 8. Actual system completed and
	9	х		"flight qualified" through test and demo
	8	х		7. System prototype demonstration in a operational environment
	9	Х		6. System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a
	9	х		relevant environment 5. Component and/or breadboard
				validation in relevant environment
	7		x	4. Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory environment
	5		X	3. Analytical and experimental critical function and/or
	6		X	characteristic proof-of-concept
	6		x	2. Technology concept and/or application formulated
Integrity		rvic	o - F	Cellance



# Industrial Base Capability

#### Industrial Capability

- Address industry's capability to design, develop, produce, support (product technology obsolescence, replacement of limited-life items, regeneration options for unique manufacturing processes, and conversion to performance specifications at the subsystems, component, and spares levels), and, if appropriate, restart an acquisition program. (see notes section)
- Address the effort performed as part of your analysis to determine the need for government action necessary to ensure a robust US Industrial and Technical base
  - Are new industrial base capabilities required?
  - US or off-shore manufacturing?
  - Diminishing Manufacturing Sources (DMS)
- Address the possibility for cooperative research and development opportunities cellence20



### Acquisition Strategy

- The Acquisition Strategy defines the approach the program will use to achieve full capability: either evolutionary or single step; it should include a brief rationale to justify the choice. (See notes for additional information)
- Prototyping and Competition (See 19 Sep 07 USD AT&L) and notes below



# Proposed Acquisition Strategy



### Alternative Strategies Considered




# Program Schedule

#### MS Decisions and Key Program Events

- Event driven milestone chart
  - Include major milestones (Decision Points/Acquisition Phases)
    - See sample charts
    - Major events required to make an award
    - OIPT or ITAB/DAB
    - Key documents needing approval
- Provide the following schedule charts:
  - How we got here
  - Long term program Schedule
    - Critical Path chart
  - 12 Month schedule chart



#### **U.S. AIR FORCE**






FY: 2010 2014 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2011 2012 2013 2015 2016 2017 Milestones **CDR** MS A MS B MS C Goncept Decision (6/6) **FRP**  $\odot$  $\odot$  $\odot$  $\odot$ Capabilities Documents **CPD CDD** Tech **LEGEND** Demo Program Mileston **Gov't Milestone RFP Rel** Sys Design/Integration SDD **System Demonstration** CT&E IOT&E DT&E Logistics **SORAP LRIP** # of items PRODUCTION # of Items **FRP** 

Integrity - Service - Excellence<sup>26</sup>



**U.S. AIR FORCE** 





# Sample Critical Path Schedule In back-up charts



# Mandele Schedule (12

11.6	S. AIR FC	)PCE								ON	tn)		
JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB
Milest	ones	1								Į.		AB	
Contra	s <i>A</i> acting	E ASPO	SD eview	AS Appr	RF ovaRele		Propos		Selec		C t	ontrac Award <sub>D</sub>	SS Debrief
	gram gemer	nt										S	rogram tartup nferenc
		1											
		TODAY											



# Systems Engineering (SE)

- Describe how your overall SE approach drives and supports the acquisition strategy across the acquisition life cycle
- Show linkage to acquisition life cycle activities such as:
  - Requirements planning
  - Technology development/maturation and transition
  - Risk management
  - Integration into/with other systems in SoS or FoS environment
  - Technical baseline management
  - Technical reviews (including Gov't Peer Reviews)
- Describe key components of the RFP and contract
  - Identify key RFP requirements and selection criteria to evaluate bidder's approach to SE and a robust design (or address in the source selection part of brief)
  - Identify key contractual provisions to ensure contractor implements proposed approach

See notes - use multiple slides as



# Systems Engineering (SE)

- Open Technology Development Considerations
- Modular Open Systems Approach / Open Architecture
  - Modular system and design disclosure: Have you identified key interfaces, data elements and subsystems (incl. S/W) which should be open / common / standard?
  - Is there a reference implementation architecture and set of default standards for your domain of operation? Applicable?
- Open Source Software Methods / Software Reuse
  - See notes use multiple slides as needed



### Systems Engineering Cont...

- Describe your approach to translating Capability documents to systems specification. If available provide a capability cross correlation matrix that indicates the KPPs, KSAs, the translation of those requirements into the systems specification (sample in backup charts).
- Discuss status of initial manufacturing concepts and their implementation (pre-MS B/C)? What is the status of LRIP manufacturing capabilities and ability to ramp up to full rate production?
  - Status of Production Readiness Review
  - What are the critical manufacturing elements?



### **Product Support Strategy**

- Source Of Repair Decision (See footnotes)
  - Status of your Source of Repair Assignment Process
  - Organic and Contractor Capability/Capacity
  - 50/50 Assessment
  - Source of Repair Assignment Process (SORAP)
     Completion Date (see notes)
  - Partnership Opportunities for Repair
  - Core vs non-core impacts to strategy
- Source of Supply Decision
  - Organic and Contractor Capability/Capacity
- Diminishing Manufacturing Sources (DMS) Considerations
- Reliability, Maintainability, Availability (if not addressed elsewhere)
- Evolutionary Acquisition effects on Supportability
- Berry Amendment implementation in sustainment



### **Product Support Strategy**

- Automatic Test Systems
  - Discuss approach and schedule
  - Will waiver be necessary?
- Performance Based Logistics
  - How has performance based logistics been factored into your overall strategy?
    - Have you accomplished a cost benefit analysis incorporating OSD policy?
  - Address types of measure used for PBL (e.g, Flying hours, MC rates, availability, etc.)
  - Address impact on user's flexibility to fund PBL
- Discuss approach to acquiring engineering data and data rights (See notes from the FY07 NDAA)
- Are you using the Acquisition Sustainment toolkit? See

footnote for link



#### Test and Evaluation

- Describe the T&E Strategy and how it supports the acquisition and requirements strategies (See notes).
- Identify issues regarding availability of production representative test articles, test facilities, Systems Integration Labs, Collaborative Development Environments
  - Also describe capability shortfalls of the test ranges.
- Have you developed your TEMP?
  - Do you have the time, budget, and assets required for test
  - Are the Critical Operational Issues (COIs) linked to Critical Technical Parameters (CTP) and Measures of Effectiveness (MOE)?
- Is DOT&E involved? If so, what is their position regarding integrated testing economies
  - What specific challenges are addressed through your test strategy?
  - How Have งอน ก็กัชอาpอิศาสัยด์ Mode ก็เหตุ ลิที่ย์ ราิศาเมื่อ tion into



#### **Business Considerations**



### Market Research

- Sources Sought Synopsis Results
- Industry Days Held
  - Industry Feedback on requirements, contract type, incentives, etc
- Prospective Sources
  - Qualified SB Sources?
    - Consideration of SB program set-asides or partial set asides; i.e., SB, 8a, SDVOSB, HUBZone.
  - Consolidation/Bundling Issues?
- Potential for International Cooperation Program
- Potential for FMS
  - ITAR issues



#### Sole Source Topics

- Authority
- Future Competition

#### Competitive Topics

- Source Selection Procedures
- Source Selection Organization
  - Address the Source Selection experience of the team
- Evaluation Criteria with Weighting
  - Tied to Risks and Significant Discriminators
  - See Sample Matrix chart to be included with Briefing
    - Be prepared to Discuss in detail
- Selection criteria to address Section 801 certification requirements for MDAP programs at MS B (See notes)



#### **Source Selection**

#### **Sample Cross Reference Matrix**


Sow	Risk	Sec L	Sec M	Proposal
1.1.2	Mod	2.2.1	4.2.1	
1.3.2	High	2.3.2	4.2.2	
1.4.2	Mod	2.4.1	4.4.1	

This or the next chart is Mandatory

How do you plan to measure and incentivize contractor's performance?



### **Source Selection Evaluation Criteria Selection**





### **Contract Parameters**

- Commercial vs. Noncommercial
  - Rationale Commercial Item Determination (CID)
  - Industrial base and foreign competitors (if not addressed somewhere else)
- Contract Type (See footnote for development programs)
  - Need to discuss the rationale for your contract type
    - What measures are in place to control contract costs?
- Contract Structure
- Performance Based
- Special Terms and Conditions
  - E.g.s, Organizational Conflict of Interest (OCI), Pricing Matrix
  - Berry Amendment
- SubcontractoryManagement<sub>excellence41</sub>



#### **Contract Incentives**

- Discuss why an Incentive is needed
  - What are the key program risks and how can incentives help to mitigate risks and improve probability of success? (See notes below)
- What Objective Incentives were considered and why (See FAR Subpart 16.4 -- Incentive Contracts)
- Will an Incentive Fee be used?
  - What type (Is there adequate funding to cover it?)
  - How will incentive control costs?
- Award Fee
  - How is award fees linked to the acquisition outcomes cost, schedule and performance?
    - How is award fee tied to specific challenges & delivered capability versus just "effort"
    - What are the specific areas you want to incentivize?
      - Objective/Subjective criteria
      - How do these track to risk areas?
  - How do you know this incentive is adequate to drive

inder -no award fee can be paid for performance not meeting contract requirem



#### **Contract Incentives**

- Award Fee Cont...
  - How will the contractor's performance be judged?
  - How will award fee periods be structured?
    - Will there be a base fee?
    - Will award fee be back loaded (to ensure enough money at end of contract in case schedule slips)?
    - Do you plan to use rollover? Why? What specifically do you plan to use rollover for?
  - Who is the Fee Determining Official (FDO)?
    - Is that the appropriate level?
- Are there negative incentives for overrun or poor performance?

Reminder—award fee must be earned--Scoring starts at zero



### Small Business

- For companies who are not in the Comprehensive Subcontracting Plan Test Program
  - Describe your approach for incorporating SB/SDB business subcontracting goals into your overall acquisition strategy
    - Remember the Air Force's objective to maximize subcontract awards to small business
    - Discuss how you determined the appropriate SB/SDB subcontracting goals
      - Use factual data from your market research
      - Include a review of potential offerors' subcontracting performance at the business unit sector - explain the results
      - Explain how your analysis enabled you to set the optimum subcontracting range
  - Identify the SB/SDB subcontracting goals
    - Express the goals as a % of total contract value, not % of subcontracted amt.
    - Don't rely on government specified % goals use maximum practical % based on your market research and your acquisition
  - Describe how SB/SDB subcontracting plans will be evaluated
    - Discuss how you determined this evaluation approach to be appropriate
      - Use factual data from your market research
      - Subcontracting performance at the business unit sector
    - Explain how this evaluation will be addressed (Section L and M)
- Comprehensive Subcontracting Plan Test Program approved by Congress
  - Submittals from contractors participating in this program will be evaluated against their corporate commitment (see Notes)



### SB Cont...

- Identify planned contract incentives to encourage aggressive S/B subcontracting (except for those contractors involved in the Comprehensive Subcontracting Plan Test Program)
  - FAR clause 52.219-10, "Incentive Contracting Program"
  - Award fee
    - Assess whether contractor achieves goals (e.g..)
      - Satisfactory: meets goals
      - Above satisfactory: exceeds goals
- Discuss your plan for post-award monitoring, such as
  - Contracting Officer review of SF 294 reports/DCMA Forms 640
  - Actions that might be taken if contractor not meeting goals in Plan
  - Measuring performance and documenting same in Contract Performance Assessment Reports
  - Consider requiring CDRL for Small Business participation
  - Consider Liquidated Damages



### Schedule to Contract Award

MARKET RESEARCH / RFI SYNOPSIS

**ACQUISITION STRATEGY PANEL** 

J&A/ACQUISITION PLAN or LCMP (or ASR) APPROVAL

**DRAFT RFP RELEASE** 

FEDBIZOPS SYNOPSIS OF FORMAL RFP

PRESOLICITATION CONFERENCE (if Comp)

**RFP RELEASE** 

PREPROPOSAL CONFERENCE (if Comp)

**PROPOSALS RECEIVED** 

**FACT FINDING/DISCUSSIONS** 

**COMPETITIVE RANGE BRIEF (if Comp)** 

FINAL PROPOSAL REVISIONS/DISCUSSIONS

**CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION** 

**CONTRACT AWARD** (see footnote)

**DEBRIEFINGS** (if Comp/if requested)




# **Program Office**Organization, Experience and Manpower

- Provide Organizational Structure (Org Chart)
- Resources
  - Address Critical manpower positions / program office manning & facilities
    - Program Office Staffing and Support Contractor Resources Available to PM
      - Identify technical authority/chief engineer
      - Identify any shortage of personnel
  - Identify current DAWIA (APDP) certification levels for all key government personnel (SPMs, PMs, etc)
- Integrated Product Teams (IPTs)
- PMA tenure agreement (on in EMA section)
  Integrity Service Excellence 47



### **Program Org Chart**





### **Program Office Acquisition Certifications**


- SPD/PM: Col John Smith (Total Acq Exp = XX mos / x mos as PM)
  - Level III: Program Management
  - Level II: Test, SPRDE
- PM1: Maj D MacArthur
  - Level II: Program Management
  - Level I: SPRDE
- PCO: Ms. Jane Smith
  - Level III: Contracting
  - Level I: Program Management
- SPO Personnel
  - Military: 20
  - Civilians: 10
  - Contractors: 20
  - Critical shortage of Systems Engineering and Test

Mandatory



### Mandatory **Program Office Experience Level**








## Manpower ramp up & down

Current and

 Future
 Manpower level <sup>50</sup><sub>45</sub>

#### **Manpower**





### **Additional Acquisition Topics**

(if not addressed elsewhere)

- Clinger Cohen Act Certification progress (CCA)
- Envir & Manuft/Quality Engineering
- Interoperability
- Information Assurance
- Information Technology
- Research/Technology Protection
  - Protection of Critical Program Information
  - Anti-Tamper
- Human Systems Integration
- Environment, Safety, Occupational Health
- Military Flight Operations QA
- Spectrum Management/Supportability
- Integrated Digital Environment Mgt
- Gov't Furnished Equipment/Property
- Modeling and Simulation
- Corrosion Control
- Insensitive Munitions
- Unique Identifiers
- Trusted Foundry



## Status of Documents for MS Review

#### If you have to go to a MS Review

- Show specific steps documents that need to be completed and reviewed and approved at HQs AF and at OSD. (I.e, documents, test events, etc)—
- (See Sample section for Matrix examples)
  - AoA
  - Capabilities Document
  - ISP
  - CARD/ICE—EA w/ ROI
  - TEMP
  - CCA compliance/certification
  - Systems Engineering documents (SEP, TRA, MRA)
  - Section 801 Certification (ACAT ID &IC programs)
  - Technology Development Strategy



#### "What Worries Me"

- This is an opportunity to communicate internal concerns to the SAE
- Discuss any issues that are of a particular concern to the PEO and SPM (examples might be)
  - OSD Oversight issues
  - Funding instability
  - Technical transition issues
- Explain how you intend to track these areas specifically and report to the SAE any problems



#### Recommendation

- Approve Acquisition Strategy
- Approve applicable delegations
- Approve Waivers and Deviations
- Way ahead to AFRB/ARB and OSD DAB
  - Staff reviews/OIPT (PEO reviews)



## Final Thoughts in Preparing your Briefing

### Some Items to Consider in Preparing Briefing - Feedback from Other Reviews

#### U.S. AIR Contracts

- Incentives
  - Evenly provide the fee versus being back-loaded
  - Inadequate to control cost
  - Did not Focus on highest risk areas
- Schedule
  - Insufficient detail; no critical path identified or briefer does not know
  - Briefer unable to explain schedule flow and margins for potential slips
  - Manpower ramp up & down does not match program schedule
  - SORAP does not align with program schedule...ensure AFMC involved early for sustainment funding
- Risk
  - Failure to adequately identify risk, or address mitigation plan once identified
  - PMs overly optimistic
  - Risk Mitigation inadequately addressed just indicating the SPO would work harder
    - "Working harder" is not a risk mitigation strategy
- Funding does not align with requirements or schedule
  - Need to present a fully funded program
  - Need to clearly identify costs by increment & map to budget documents
  - Small slip in contract award from end of FY A to beginning of FY B can mean loss of FY A funding
    - Be mindful that management reserve not used in the year of exerufiee likely to be to ker vice Excellence



### SAMPLE CHARTS



## Required Capabilities Requirements Traceability

CDD	Capability	Sys Spec		Testing
KPP 1		Config Item 1 Config Item 2		
KPP 2				
Net Ready				
Materiel Availability	Materiel Reliability KSA Ownership Costs KSA			
Force Protection				
Survivability				
Training				
Energy Efficiency		Sample		
KSA 1				
KSA 2	Use 1	Multiple slide	es as	<b>4</b> 59



### Alternative strategies considered



- The Challenge—Program Needs
- Develop a program plan that...
  - 1) Meets need date
  - 2) Migrates to .....architecture
  - 3) Satisfies the Net Ready KPP
  - 4) Utilizes existing equipment
  - 5) Maximizes insulation from other program risks
  - 6) Manages internal program constraints/dependencies
    - Other upgrades, computer processors, resources (labs & people)
  - 7) Best fits in a fiscally constrained environment

#### Seven Key Items Used to Develop Strategy



### Strategy 1

**U.S. AIR FORCE** 

Strategy 1 **Maintains** G Strategic Connectivi tv R Migrates **Satisfies** R **Net Ready** Utilizes R FAB-T Insulation G from other Manage G Constraint Fits Fiscal G **Constraint** 

- 1. Meets Need Date
- 2. Does not migrate
- 3. Does not fulfill Net Ready KPP
- 4. Uses existing equipment
- 5. No dependency on other programs
- 6. Manages constraints
- 7. No additional funding in near years



## SAMPLE Solution Trade Space

	6	AI			CE
U		AI		K	CE

	Strategy 1	Strategy 2	Strategy 3	Strategy 4	Strategy 5
Maintains Strategic Connectivi	G	Y	G	R	R
ty Migrates	R	Y	G	Y	G
Satisfies Net Ready	R	R	R	Y	G
Utilizes Equipment	R	R	G	R	G
Insulation from others	G	G	Y	G	R
Manage Constraint	G	R	G	R	Y
Fits Fiscal Constraint	G	R	G	R	R

RED = No
THILE GRITTY - SETVICE - EXCELLENCE

GREEN = Yes



### Solution Trade Space

U.S. AIR FORCE		
	FAB-T non-integrated	FAB-T Integrated
Maintains Strategic Connectivi	G	R
ty Migrates	G	G
Satisfies Net Ready	R	G
Utilizes equipment	G	G
Insulation from others	Y	R
Manage Constraint s	G	Y
Fits Fiscal Constraint	G	R



### SAMP quisition Strategy Selected

**U.S. AIR FORCE** 

**Bridge** Solution **Maintains** G Strategic Connectivi ty Migrates G B-2 to EHF Satisfies G **Net Ready** Utilizes G FAB-T Insulation from AEHF Manage B-G Constraint Fits Fiscal G **Constraint** 

RED = No

- 1. Meets Need Date
- 2. Migrates
- 3. Fulfills Net Ready KPP
- 4. Uses existing equipment
- Minimal dependency on other programs
- 6. Manages constraints by...
- 7. No additional funding in near years



### **Documentation Samples**



### Solutions is a second section of the second section of the second Sample 1 Status

				Est Star		Est Comp Dat	e
Preparing Or	g Program Documentation	OPR /	Approved E	Dyate (AF	<b>AF</b> Statu	s (AF)	Remarks
Program Ad	quisition Decision Memo (ADM)		MDA				
Management							
Ac	equisition Program Baseline (APE	3)	MDA				
Ac	equisition Strategy Panel (ASP)		SAF/AQ				Completed
Ac	quisition Strategy Report (ASR)		MDA				
1	pectation Management Agreem (EMA)	ent				I	n coordination
Inf	formation Assurance Strategy		SAF/XC				
	fe Cycle Management Plan (LCM	P)				I	Draft in work
l N	larket Research Report		MDA				
N	ilestone B Exit Criteria		MDA				
Mi	ssion-critical and Mission-essent	ial					
	formation Systems Registration (MCESR)						
St	atement of Objectives (SOO)						
	stems Engineering Plan (SEP)		MDA				In work
	ch Development Strategy (TDS)						
	ech Readiness Assessment (TRA	)				•	
_	rogram Protection Plan (PPP)		MDA				In work
Se	ecurity Classification Guide (SCG	i)					

= Prior to **Start** 

= Being **Drafted** 

= InCoord. **Approved** 



## MS C Documents and Actions

Statutory

Sample 2

Statu s	Program Documentation	OPR	Approval Authority	Start	Complet e	Actions
G	Consideration of Technology Issues					
G	Programmatic Environment, Safety and Health Evaluation (PESHE)					
G	Industrial Capabilities					
G	Independent Cost Estimate & Manpower Estimate					
G	Core Logistics Analysis & SORAP					
G	Technology Development Strategy		MDA			
G	Acquisition Program Baseline					
G	Cooperative Opportunities					





## MS C Documents and Actions

Regulatory

Sample 2

					REILLI	
Statu			Approval		Complet	
S	Program Documentation	OPR	Authority	Start	е	Actions
3	1 Togram Documentation	OTK	Authority	Start		Actions
G	Customs Fusing spins Plan (CED)					
J	Systems Engineering Plan (SEP)					
	System Threat Assessment Report					
G	(STAR)					
	(3.1.1.4)					
G	Information Support Plan (ISP)		MDA			
			+		+	
G	Exit Criteria					
					+	
	Cost Analysis Requirements Document					
G	(CARD)					
	,					
G	Capabilities Production Document (CPD)					
	•					
G	Affordability Assessment					
	-					
G	Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP)					
G	Program Protection Plan (PPP)					
					1	
G	Acquisition Strategy/LCMP					
<u> </u>						
G	Operational Jest Agency Report y - S	ervia	de - Ex	celle	<b>h</b> c <b>e</b> 69	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	



### MS C Documents and Sample 2 Actions Reltway Buttons


Stat us	Program Documentation	OPR	Approval Authority	Start	Complet e	Actions
G	Expectations Management Agreement (EMA)					
G	Modular Open Systems Architecture (MOSA)					



### **Other Samples**




### Road to Milestone C





### **Program Schedule**





# Human System Integration (HSI) & Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health (ESOH) Template \* Sample Chart

Systems Eng. Focus Areas	<u>Complia</u> <u>sk</u>	<u>Compliance/Ri</u> <u>sk</u>		Rationale/Comme nts		
Manpower **						
Personnel **						
Training **						
Human Factors Engineering**		Likelihood A	Chance of Occurrence  Near Certainty 91 – 100%	A B B		
Habitability**		В	Highly Likely 66 – 90% Likely 36 – 65%	C D B C		
Survivability**		D	Not likely 11 – 35% Remote	1 2 3 4 5		
Environment***		Consequence	0 – 10%  Description of Consequence  Negligible	Consequence  High – major program disruption		
Legend: y*** SAFYAO Interest Item		2 3 4	Minor Moderate Serious	Medium – moderate program disruptio		
** Use AF/SGR HSI Assessment Occilipational Health ** 30	Aug 06	5	Critical Sample R	Risk Matrix		

### **Probability of Program Success**

PEO: SAMPLE Summary

Program Name ACAT XX

Program Planning

Date of Review: Date

Program Success (100)

PM: PM's Name

